

Proposal Title :	Rezone and increase the max Warriewood Valley Urban La	• •	on various Sectors within the	
Proposal Summary :	The planning proposal seeks	:		
	901F of the Warriewood Valle	ey Land Release Area from	treet Road Reserve), 901B, 901C ar Zone No 1(b)(Non Urban 'B') to Zon vater Local Environmental Plan (PL	ie
	Sectors (301, 302, 303, 5, 801 901C, 901F,10B, Buffer 2a an	, 901A and adjoining Orcha d Buffer 3b) of the Warriew ⁄alley Strategic Review whic	nber of dwellings permitted in vario ard Street Road Reserve, 901B, 9010 ood Valley Release Area, to reflect ch recommended an increase in	с,
PP Number :	PP_2013_PITTW_001_00	Dop File No :	13/10907	

Proposal Details

Date Planning Proposal Received :	20-Jun-2013	LGA covered :	Pittwater
Region :	Sydney Region East	RPA :	Pittwater Council
State Electorate :	PITTWATER	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		

Location Details

Street :	9 &10 Fern Creek Road				
Suburb :	Warriewood	City :	New South Wales	Postcode :	2102
Land Parcel : Street :	Lot 5 DP 736961 and Lot 6 Reserve 2, 4 & 6 Orchard Street	6 DP 73696	1, Sector ID: 901A and adjo	oining Orchard St	reet Road
Suburb :	Warriewood	City :	New South Wales	Postcode :	2102
Land Parcel : Street :	Lot A DP 959150, Lot D DI Street Road Reserve 204 & 206 Garden Street	P 367229 a	nd Lot C DP 367229, Secto	r ID: 901A and ad	joining Orchard
Suburb :	Warriewood	City :	New South Wales	Postcode :	2102
Land Parcel : Street :	Lot B DP 378841 and Lot / Reserve 2 Fern Creek Road	A DP 37884	l1, Sector ID: 901A and adj	oining Orchard St	reet Road
Suburb :	Warriewood	City :	New South Wales	Postcode :	2102
Land Parcel :	Lot 2 DP 736961, Sector II	D: 901B			

Street :	12 Fern Creek Road				
Suburb :	Warriewood	City :	New South Wales	Postcode :	2102
and Parcel :	Lot 12 DP 1092788, Sec	tor ID: 901C			
Street :	14 Orchard Street				
Suburb :	Warriewood	City :	New South Wales	Postcode :	2102
and Parcel :	Lot B1 DP 369510, Sect	tor ID: 901F			
Street :					
Suburb :		City :		Postcode :	
Land Parcel :	Lot 13 DP 1092788, Sec	tor ID: 901A	and adjoining Orchard Str	eet Road Reserve	•
Street :	20 Macpherson Street				
Suburb :	Warriewood	City :	New South Wales	Postcode :	2102
Land Parcel :	Lot 1 DP 592091, Secto	r ID: 301			
Street :	18 Macpherson Street				
Suburb :	Warriewood	City :	New South Wales	Postcode :	2102
Land Parcel :	Lot 1 DP 604035, Secto	r ID: 302			
Street :	16 Macpherson Street				
Suburb :	Warriewood	City :	New South Wales	Postcode :	2102
and Parcel :	Lot 4 DP 553816, Secto	r ID:303			
Street :	4 & 8 Forest Road				
Suburb :	Warriewood	City :	New South Wales	Postcode :	2102
and Parcel :	Lot B DP 370222 and L	ot 1 DP 5055,	Sector ID: 5		
Street :	23B Macpherson Street	t			
Suburb :	Warriewood	City :	New south Wales	Postcode :	2102
and Parcel :	Lot 11 Section C DP 54	64, Sector ID:	801		
Street :	109 Orchard Street				
Suburb :	Warriewood	City :	New South Wales	Postcode :	2102
and Parcel :	Lot 3 DP 734891 and Lo	ot 4 DP 73489	1, Sector ID: 10B		
Street :	4 Macpherson Street				
Suburb :	Warriewood Street	City :	New south Wales	Postcode :	2102
and Parcel :	Lot 24 Section C DP 54	64, Sector ID:	Buffer 2a		
Street :	5 & 7 Macpherson Stre	et			
Suburb :	Warriewood	City :	New south Wales	Postcode :	2102

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Contact Name :	Deewa Baral
Contact Number :	0292286451
Contact Email :	deewa.baral@planning.nsw.gov.au

RPA Contact Details

Contact Name :	Tija Stagni
Contact Number :	0299701318
Contact Email :	tija_stagni@pittwater.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name :

Contact Number :

Contact Email :

Land Release Data

Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro North East subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	166
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :	The Department is not aware of a concerning this planning proposi		ons with registered lobbyists
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	This is one of three planning prop Warriewood Valley.	oosals that Pittwater Council	has submitted for
	This planning proposal seeks to well as specify the maximum nun	-	
	The proposed dwelling numbers Strategic Review which recomme 32 dwellings per developable hec	ended an increase in maximur	m dwelling density from 25 to

dwellings on the subject land.

The Strategic Review Report identified that sectors 301 and 302-303 have flood free access, via Ponderosa Parade, to Mona Vale Hospital in the 100 year ARI event. During the PMF event, these routes will be cut-off but only during the intense rainfall periods for short duration.

Buffer 2a is unlikely to be isolated for unacceptable periods of time. However, evacuation from this area would be possible under most conditions up to the PMF event via Ponderosa Parade or McPhersons Street. The route via Ponderosa Parade is only isolated for less than 2 – 3 hours or during high intensity rainfall periods.

Sector 801, 901A, 901B, 901C and 901F evacuation would be possible via Ponderose Parade and similar routes for a 100 year ARI event. During a PMF event access via Garden St North, MacPerson St West and Ponderose Pde will be cut-off, however access will be available almost immediately after intense rainfall.

Council acknowledges that there is a current intra-government review on state-wide flood evacuation policy. In view of the Strategic Review Report findings, Council proposes the emergency flood response being facilitated at an evacuation route set at 1% AEP and this is supported.

The planning proposal proposes to amend the current Pittwater Local Environmental Plan (PLEP) 1993. Council intends to incorporate the subject rezoning into its SILEP at some stage.

The planning proposal also seeks to include these lands in clause 30(E)which relates to public infrastructure in release areas. However, clause 30E(4) pertaining to a State Infrastructure Contribution (SIC) for a release area should not apply to this proposal. As outlined in correspondence to Pittwater Council 14 October 2011 (Objective File 11/15752), no SIC is required for any current or future development applications within Warriewood Valley Release Area. The issue of a potential SIC was not further considered in the Warriewood Valley Strategic Review and it is recommended that Council be advised that Clause 30E (4) should not apply to development in Warriewood Valley Release Area.

Council's request for delegation to finalise the planning proposal, which is of local planning significance, is supported.

Note:

Meriton P/L submitted on 7 June 2013 a draft planning proposal application to Pittwater Council for some of the land that is subject to this planning proposal. Meriton's application seeks to amend the PLEP 1993 to increase the permissible residential densities, to accommodate residential apartment buildings. These sites are:

1) 2 Macpherson St and 23, 25 & 27 Warriewood Rd (Sector Buffer 1I & 1m) residential apartment buildings are proposed and range in height from three storeys at the street frontage to five storeys at the rear of the site. (Refer to PP_2013_PITTW_003_00)

2) 18 Macpherson St (sector 302) where residential apartment buildings are proposed ranging in height from three storeys at the street frontage to four storeys at the rear of the site.

Meriton's proposal has a floor space ratio of 0.8:1 or 80 dwellings per hectare. The proposal exceeds the height and density controls recommended by the Strategic Review, particularly for Sector 1m which was identified as having no development potential due to environmental constraints.

Following a non-statutory exhibition of Meriton's proposal, Council Officers are preparing a report for Council. If Council does not support Meriton's proposal it is likely Meriton will pursue a pre-gateway review.

External Supporting Notes :

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

The objective of the planning proposal is to rezone certain land in the Warriewood Valley Release Area from non-urban to Zone No 2(f) (Urban Purposes - Mixed Residential) under Pittwater Local Environmental Plan (PLEP) 1993.

The planning proposal also intends to add and amend maximum dwelling numbers into the relevant clause of PLEP 1993, to allow for an increase in dwelling density from 25 to 32 dwellings per developable hectares.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :	The proposal seeks to make the following amendments to PLEP 1993:
	1. Amend Clause 5 - 'Interpretation' to incorporate a zoning map for this amendment.
	2. Amend clause 30B - 'Development of UDP land in Warriewood Valley' to delete the reference of Sector 3 and Sector 5 under the clause.
	3. Amend clause 30B - 'Development of UDP land in Warriewood Valley' to include Sector 301, 302 and 303; Sector 5, Sector 8 including Sector 801; Sector 901A and road reserve, Sector 901B, 901C and 901F; Sector 10 including Sector 10B; Buffer 2a and Buffer 3b under the clause.
	4. Amend clause 30C - 'Dwelling Yield' by deleting the following: - Sector 3 – not more than 165 dwellings.
	 5. Amend clause 30C - 'Dwelling Yield' by inserting the following: Sector 301 – not more than 53 dwellings or less than 42 dwellings Sector 302 – not more than 84 dwellings or less than 66 dwellings Sector 303 – not more than 29 dwellings or less than 23 dwellings Sector 801 – not more than 38 dwellings or less than 19 dwellings Sector 10B – not more than 45 dwellings or less than 28 dwellings Sector 901A (including adjoining road reserve) – not more than 192 dwellings or less than 156 dwellings Sector 901B – not more than 36 dwellings or less than 12 dwellings Sector 901F – not more than 22 dwellings or less than 17 dwellings Sector 901F – not more than 14 dwellings Buffer 2a – not more than 29 dwellings or less than 7 dwellings Buffer 3b – not more than 9 dwellings or less than 7 dwellings
	 6. Amend clause 30C - 'Dwelling Yield' by altering the dwelling yield as following: Sector 5 – not more than 94 or less than 75 dwellings; Sector 8 (excluding Sector 801) – not more than 159 dwellings; and Sector 10 (excluding Sector 10B) – not more than 134 dwellings.
	7. Amend clause 30D - 'Mitigation of odours from Warriewood Sewage Treatment Plant' of the PLEP 1993 by including Buffer 2a and Buffer 3b under the clause.
	8. Amend clause 30E - 'Public infrastructure in urban release areas' subclause (8) of PLEP 1993 by deleting the reference of Sector 3 under the clause.

9. Amend clause 30E - 'Public infrastructure in urban release areas' subclause (8) of PLEP 1993 by including Sector 301, 302 and 303; Sector 8 including Sector 801; Sector 901A and road reserve, 901B, 901C and 901F; Sector 10 including Sector 10B; Buffer 2a and Buffer 3b.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

1.2 Rural Zones

- 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates
- 3.3 Home Occupations
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 4.3 Flood Prone Land
- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
- 6.3 Site Specific Provisions
- 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

- c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 :
- d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

?	SEPP No 1—Development Standards
	SEPP No 4—Development Without Consent and Miscellaneous
	Exempt and Complying Development
	SEPP No 6—Number of Storeys in a Building
	SEPP No 60—Exempt and Complying Development
	SEPP No 64—Advertising and Signage
	SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development
	SEPP No 70—Affordable Housing (Revised Schemes)
	SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004
	SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008
	SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004
	SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

The planning proposal is consistent with all relevant SEPPs.

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

The planning proposal is inconsistent with Direction 1.2 Rural Zones in that it proposes to rezone land from a rural to a residential zone. However, as the subject land is within a urban land release area and its use for residential purposes is consistent with Council's Planning Framework and the state government's Metropolitan Development Program, this inconsistency is considered to be justified.

Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection is applicable to the planning proposal as some of the subject land is identified on Pittwater Council's Bushfire Prone Land Map. Council will be required to consult with the NSW Rural Fire Service.

Inconsistencies with other s117 Directions identified by Council are considered to be minor and have been justified in the planning proposal.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes	
Comment :	All maps provided are considered adequate.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

Council proposes to consult with the community through:

- a 14 day exhibition period (to be extended if the exhibition occurs during the December to January school holiday period);

- notification in local newspaper at commencement of exhibition period;
- notification on Council's websites for the duration of the exhibition;

- notification in writing to affected and adjoining landowners at commencement of exhibition period; and

- notification in writing to the 'Warriewood Residents Association Incorporated' at commencement of exhibition period.

These arrangements are considered satisfactory.

Council should be required to consult NSW Rural Fire Service, the subject land parcels are partly identified as bushfire prone land.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : December 2013

Comments in	The planning proposal proposes to amend the current Pittwater Local Environmental Plan
relation to Principal	(PLEP) 1993. The draft Pittwater SILEP was exhibited from 16 March 2013 until 10 May 2013
LEP :	and will be re-exhibited with a Parliamentary Counsel draft instrument later this year.
	Council intends to incorporate the subject proposal into its SILEP.

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal is a result of the recently endorsed Warriewood Valley Strategic Review 2012, which was a joint project between the Department of Planning and Infrastructure and Pittwater Council to review the height and density standards for residential development within the Release Area.
	This Strategic Review confirms the proposed Sectors are capable of residential development and recommends an increase in dwelling density from 25 to 32 dwellings per developable hectares based on appropriate design criteria and infrastructure provision strategies.
	Based on the report recommendations the proposed changes in zoning, increase in permissible dwelling yields and addition of dwelling yield controls are supported.

Consistency wit strategic planni framework :	
	The planning proposal is consistent with Action C1 in the draft North-East Subregional Strategy which aims to ensure adequate supply of land and sites for residential development through the Metropolitan Development Program (MDP).
	The planning proposal is consistent with the recently adopted Warriewood Valley Strategic Review Report 2012 which recommends an increase in the number of dwellings in the Warriewood Valley Release Area.
Environmental s	
	The broader environmental, economic and social impacts of residential development on the subject land were considered when the Warriewood Valley was released for urban development in the 1970s.
	The known environmental constraints of the subject land (which were identifed as part of the land capability exercise undertaken for the Strategic Review) will need to be considered during the development application process.

Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	on 14 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	NSW Rural Fire Ser	vice		
Is Public Hearing by the	e PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matte	r proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)((b) : No			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional s	studies, if required. :			
If Other, provide reasor	ns :			
Identify any internal cor	nsultations, if required :	:		
No internal consultation	on required			
Is the provision and fun	nding of state infrastruc	ture relevant to this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :				
Documents				
Document File Name		DocumentTyp	e Name	Is Public

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : S.117 directions: 1.2 Rural Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactur 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Trat 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protect 6.1 Approval and Referral Requir 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Public Public Public Public Public Public Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metrop Additional Information : It is recommended that the plann 1. The planning proposal be exhit 2. The planning proposal be com 3. Prior to undertaking public exhit 2. The planning proposal be com 3. Prior to undertaking public exhit 2. The planning proposal be com 3. Prior to undertaking public exhit 9. Floor of ture residential device 4. Inconsistencies with s117 Dire been adequately justified; 5. Consultation is required with N 'Planning for Bushfire Protection 6. No further studies are required 7. The proposed rezoning is of log supported. Supporting Reasons : The planning proposal seeks to it<	Proposal Proposal Proposal Covering Letter	Yes No Yes				
S.117 directions: 1.2 Rural Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactu 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Tra 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protect 6.1 Approval and Referral Requir 6.2 Reserving Land for Public	Ianning Team Recommendation					
2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactu 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Tra 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protect 6.1 Approval and Referral Requir 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Pu 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metrop Additional Information : It is recommended that the plann 1. The planning proposal be exhi 2. The planning proposal be exhi 2. The planning proposal be com 3. Prior to undertaking public exh make it clear that clause 30E(4)S current or future residential deve 4. Inconsistencies with s117 Dire been adequately justified; 5. Consultation is required with N 'Planning for Bushfire Protection 6. No further studies are required 7. The proposed rezoning is of lo supported. Supporting Reasons : The planning proposal seeks to i Land Release Area. It is based on Strategic Review Report and is c directions and is supported.	Recommended with Conditions					
Additional Information : It is recommended that the plann 1. The planning proposal be exhi 2. The planning proposal be com 3. Prior to undertaking public exh make it clear that clause 30E(4)S current or future residential deve 4. Inconsistencies with s117 Direction been adequately justified; 5. Consultation is required with N 'Planning for Bushfire Protection 6. No further studies are required 7. The proposed rezoning is of losupported. Supporting Reasons : The planning proposal seeks to it Land Release Area. It is based on Strategic Review Report and is c directions and is supported.	nsport ion ements rposes					
1. The planning proposal be exhi 2. The planning proposal be com 3. Prior to undertaking public exh make it clear that clause 30E(4)S current or future residential deve 4. Inconsistencies with \$117 Dire been adequately justified; 5. Consultation is required with N 'Planning for Bushfire Protection 6. No further studies are required 7. The proposed rezoning is of log supporting Reasons : The planning proposal seeks to it Land Release Area. It is based on Strategic Review Report and is c directions and is supported.	7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed subject to following conditions:					
 3. Prior to undertaking public ext make it clear that clause 30E(4)S current or future residential developed 4. Inconsistencies with s117 Dire- been adequately justified; 5. Consultation is required with N 'Planning for Bushfire Protection 6. No further studies are required 7. The proposed rezoning is of losupported. Supporting Reasons : The planning proposal seeks to in Land Release Area. It is based on Strategic Review Report and is c directions and is supported. 	1. The planning proposal be exhibited for 14 days;					
make it clear that clause 30E(4)S current or future residential developed 4. Inconsistencies with s117 Direction been adequately justified; 5. Consultation is required with N 'Planning for Bushfire Protection 6. No further studies are required 7. The proposed rezoning is of losupported. Supporting Reasons : The planning proposal seeks to it Land Release Area. It is based on Strategic Review Report and is c directions and is supported.	pleted within 9 months of the gateway	determination;				
been adequately justified; 5. Consultation is required with N 'Planning for Bushfire Protection 6. No further studies are required 7. The proposed rezoning is of lo supported. Supporting Reasons : The planning proposal seeks to i Land Release Area. It is based or Strategic Review Report and is c directions and is supported.	nibition, Council is to update the planni tate Infrastructure Contribution (SIC) do lopment in the Warriewood Valley Rele	pes not apply to				
 'Planning for Bushfire Protection 6. No further studies are required 7. The proposed rezoning is of losupported. Supporting Reasons : The planning proposal seeks to i Land Release Area. It is based on Strategic Review Report and is c directions and is supported. 	ctions, particularly 1.2 Rural Zones, are	e minor and have				
7. The proposed rezoning is of lo supported. Supporting Reasons : The planning proposal seeks to i Land Release Area. It is based or Strategic Review Report and is c directions and is supported.	ISW Rural Fire Service consistent with ';	Direction 4.4				
Supporting Reasons : The planning proposal seeks to i Land Release Area. It is based on Strategic Review Report and is c directions and is supported.	I to be carried out for the planning prop	osal; and				
Land Release Area. It is based or Strategic Review Report and is c directions and is supported.	cal significance and Council's request	for delegation is				
Signature:	ncrease dwelling density within the Wa n the recommendations made under Wa onsistent with the Government's object	arriewood Valley				
Signature:						
Printed Name:						